UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,721	10/01/2007	Steffen Schmalz	SCHM3013/JJC	6607
23364 BACON & THO	7590 09/02/201 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS	LANE	BITAR, NANCY		
FOURTH FLOO ALEXANDRIA	ok a, VA 22314-1176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Symptoms	10/583,721	SCHMALZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NANCY BITAR	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	ne 2010				
<i>,</i> —	, <del>-</del>				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 March 2009</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/583,721 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-8, filed 6/10/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-3,5-7 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Murata et al. (JP 2001-157044)

## **Examiner Notes**

2. Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,721 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

4. Claims 1-3,5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al (US 2003/0132281) in view of Murata et al (JP 2001-157044)

As to claims 1-3, Jones et al teaches the method for recognizing forged bank notes with a bank note processing machine comprising the steps, processing the bank note with the bank note processing machine; checking the bank notes with comparative data stored by the bank note processing machine and (see applicant admitted preamble, specification paragraph [0004]),

using additional comparative data for new types of forgeries ,which are not recognized based on the comparative data derived from authentic bank notes and known forgeries (figure 15; paragraph [093] and paragraph [0127])

wherein the bank notes to be checked are compared with both the comparative data and the additional comparative data for new types of forgeries so as to determine whether a forged bank note is present (see figure 16; adapted to receive a plurality of currency bills and an image scanner adapted to obtain an image of a currency bill and to extract a serial number from the image of the currency bill. An authentication and discrimination unit is also included to perform counterfeit testing on the currency bill. Coupled to the input receptacle is a transport mechanism adapted to transport each of the currency bills one at a time from the input receptacle past the image scanner and the authentication and discrimination unit to at least one output receptacle. A memory storage is adapted to store the obtained image of each of the currency bills. The memory is also adapted to store at least one serial number of counterfeit currency bills. A controller is adapted to update the memory with a serial number of a currency bill determined to be counterfeit by the authentication and discrimination unit, paragraph [0183-0185]. While Jones meets a number of the limitations of the claimed invention, as pointed out

Art Unit: 2624

more fully above, Jones fails to specifically teach "provide the comparative data derived from authentic bank notes and known forgeries and the additional comparative data for new types of forgeries that may have arisen after the comparative data derived from authentic bank notes and known forgeries was established. Murata et al teaches an image forming device provided with a reading section characterized by comprising the following which reads an object image as data, and an image formation part which forms a picture in the paper from image data. A feature extraction part which extracts the feature of a picture from read image data. A registration characteristic storage section which registers the feature extracted from image data which read a specific object image. Murata clearly teaches a prohibition part which forbids image formation according to this comparison result as compared with the feature of a specific object image that the feature of an object image is registered ( see figures 1 and 3 , paragraph [0006-008] and abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to check the security feature in Jones Since the characteristic of specific objective image is registered into the memory, formation of duplicate image during issuing of new bank notes, is prohibited and hence forgery prohibition function of image forming device is improved, reliably. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by applicant.

As to claim 5, Jones et al teaches the method according to claim 1, wherein the additional comparative data (serial numbers, paragraph [0183]) for new types of forgeries are derived and produced from the new type of forgery after the first occurrence of the new type of forgery (paragraph [0183-0185]); see also paragraph [0127-0129]).

Application/Control Number: 10/583,721 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

5. Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al

(US 2003/0132281) in view of Murata et al (JP 2001-157044) and further in view of Pernot

et al (EP 1255232).

While Jones and Murata et al meets a number of the limitations of the claimed invention, as

pointed out more fully above, Neither Jones nor Murata specifically teach the comparative data

and additional comparative data for new types of forgeries are available for each possible

position of the bank notes. Specifically, Pernot et al. teaches the four different positions of

introducing the bank note where the acceptance of banknote by authenticity checking machines

in all possible positions of the banknote (paragraph [0051]). It would have been obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art to authenticate the bank note in different position in order the new type

of forgery can be affected independently of the respective position thus enhancing the

recognition of new types of forgeries in order to increase the authenticity of bank notes.

Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time of the invention by applicant.

Claims 6-7 differ from claim 1-3 only in that claim 1-3 are method claims whereas claim

6-7 are an apparatus claim. Thus, claims 6-7 are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to

claims above.

**Contact Information** 

Application/Control Number: 10/583,721

Art Unit: 2624

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY BITAR whose telephone number is (571)270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

/Nancy Bitar/

Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/VIKKRAM BALI/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624